Exhibit 2

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11619

Claimant: UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☑ <u>Category 1</u>	:laim: ☐ Category 1 Comments:	
16. E	ocuments relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the propert	ly.
	☐ No documents were provided.	
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:	
	they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.	1
	ocuments concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in	1
the pr	ne property. No documents were provided.	
	Documents provided are insufficient because:	
	they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.	
22. (ocuments concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.	
	☑ No documents were provided.	
٠.	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:	
26. [ocuments concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.	
	☐ No documents were provided.	
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:	

building air sample results were not included.

10/17/2005 BMCID RevGa